

An Approximation of a Definitive Survey of Notes  
on the Future State of Hash Functions;  
Pre-re-visited Redux Encore

Saqib A. Kakvi

EUROCRYPT 2019 Rump Session

# SHA-1 IS BROKEN!!!!

- ▶ Collisions have been found in SHA-1

# SHA-1 IS BROKEN!!!!

- ▶ Collisions have been found in SHA-1
- ▶ PANIC!!!!

# SHA-1 IS BROKEN!!!!

- ▶ Collisions have been found in SHA-1
- ▶ PANIC!!!!

# SHA-1 IS BROKEN!!!!

- ▶ Collisions have been found in SHA-1
- ▶ PANIC!!!!

# SHA-1 IS BROKEN!!!!

- ▶ Collisions have been found in SHA-1
- ▶ **PANIC!!!!!!**

# SHA-1 IS BROKEN!!!!

- ▶ Collisions have been found in SHA-1
- ▶ **PANIC!!!!**

# SHA-1 IS BROKEN!!!!

- ▶ Collisions have been found in SHA-1
- ▶ ~~PANIC!!!!~~



# SHA-1 IS BROKEN!!!!

- ▶ Collisions have been found in SHA-1
- ▶ ~~PANIC!!!!~~
- ▶ We have other hash functions

# SHA-1 IS BROKEN!!!!

- ▶ Collisions have been found in SHA-1
- ▶ ~~PANIC!!!!~~
- ▶ We have other hash functions
- ▶ SHA-2 and SHA-3 are still fine!<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup>I hope! I didn't bother to check but nobody will notice.

# SHA-1 IS BROKEN!!!!

- ▶ Collisions have been found in SHA-1
- ▶ ~~PANIC!!!!~~
- ▶ We have other hash functions
- ▶ SHA-2 and SHA-3 are still fine!<sup>1</sup>
- ▶ But why should be stick to the SHA family?<sup>2</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup>I hope! I didn't bother to check but nobody will notice.

<sup>2</sup>My SHA-3 candidate was rejected due "lack of rigour"

## The Solution

- ▶ Create a new family of hash functions<sup>3</sup>.

---

<sup>3</sup>I'll just make my own hash function family.

## The Solution

- ▶ Create a new family of hash functions<sup>3</sup>.
- ▶ Encourage the usage of organic primitives.<sup>4</sup>

---

<sup>3</sup>I'll just make my own hash function family.

<sup>4</sup>Are sponges organic? This joke doesn't work if they are.

## The Solution

- ▶ Create a new family of hash functions<sup>3</sup>.
- ▶ Encourage the usage of organic primitives.<sup>4</sup>
- ▶ And range-free<sup>5</sup> primitives.

---

<sup>3</sup>I'll just make my own hash function family.

<sup>4</sup>Are sponges organic? This joke doesn't work if they are.

<sup>5</sup>It's like free range, but better! It makes my acronym work!

## The Solution

- ▶ Create a new family of hash functions<sup>3</sup>.
- ▶ Encourage the usage of organic primitives.<sup>4</sup>
- ▶ And range-free<sup>5</sup> primitives.
- ▶ Aim for wholesome primitives.<sup>67</sup>

---

<sup>3</sup>I'll just make my own hash function family.

<sup>4</sup>Are sponges organic? This joke doesn't work if they are.

<sup>5</sup>It's like free range, but better! It makes my acronym work!

<sup>6</sup>Again, for the acronym, but hide the details in footnotes!

<sup>7</sup>Surely nobody will notice.

## The Solution

- ▶ Create a new family of hash functions<sup>3</sup>.
- ▶ Encourage the usage of organic primitives.<sup>4</sup>
- ▶ And range-free<sup>5</sup> primitives.
- ▶ Aim for wholesome primitives.<sup>6,7</sup>
- ▶ I think we can all agree these are good things!

---

<sup>3</sup>I'll just make my own hash function family.

<sup>4</sup>Are sponges organic? This joke doesn't work if they are.

<sup>5</sup>It's like free range, but better! It makes my acronym work!

<sup>6</sup>Again, for the acronym, but hide the details in footnotes!

<sup>7</sup>Surely nobody will notice.



The Solution:  
AN ALTERNATIVE HASH FUNCTION FAMILY!

# The Solution: AN ALTERNATIVE HASH FUNCTION FAMILY!

**W** holesome

# The Solution: AN ALTERNATIVE HASH FUNCTION FAMILY!

**W** holesome

**O** rganic

# The Solution: AN ALTERNATIVE HASH FUNCTION FAMILY!

- W** holesome
- O** rganic
- R** ange-free

# The Solution: AN ALTERNATIVE HASH FUNCTION FAMILY!

- W** holesome
- O** rganic
- R** ange-free
- T** rusted

# The Solution: AN ALTERNATIVE HASH FUNCTION FAMILY!

- W** holesome
- O** rganic
- R** ange-free
- T** rusted
- H** ash-function

# The Solution: AN ALTERNATIVE HASH FUNCTION FAMILY!

- W** holesome
- O** rganic
- R** ange-free
- T** rusted
- H** ash-function
- of

# The Solution: AN ALTERNATIVE HASH FUNCTION FAMILY!

**W** holesome  
**O** rganic  
**R** ange-free  
**T** rusted  
**H** ash-function  
- of  
**L** arge &



# The Solution: AN ALTERNATIVE HASH FUNCTION FAMILY!

**W** holesome  
**O** rganic  
**R** ange-free  
**T** rusted  
**H** ash-function  
- of  
**L** arge &  
**E** xceptionally

# The Solution: AN ALTERNATIVE HASH FUNCTION FAMILY!

**W** holesome  
**O** rganic  
**R** ange-free  
**T** rusted  
**H** ash-function  
- of  
**L** arge &  
**E** xceptionally  
**S** trong

# The Solution: AN ALTERNATIVE HASH FUNCTION FAMILY!

**W**holesome  
**O**rganic  
**R**ange-free  
**T**rusted  
**H**ash-function  
- of  
**L**arge &  
**E**xceptionally  
**S**trong  
**S**ecurity

## Syntax and Security

- ▶ Block sizes are fixed at 1 million bits for technical reasons.

## Syntax and Security

- ▶ Block sizes are fixed at 1 million bits for technical reasons.
- ▶ Hash inputs are padded up to the next million bits.

## Syntax and Security

- ▶ Block sizes are fixed at 1 million bits for technical reasons.
- ▶ Hash inputs are padded up to the next million bits.
- ▶ Security is defined as:

$$\frac{|input|}{|output|}$$

## Syntax and Security

- ▶ Block sizes are fixed at 1 million bits for technical reasons.
- ▶ Hash inputs are padded up to the next million bits.
- ▶ Security is defined as:

$$\frac{|input|}{|output|}$$

- ▶ Security is measured on parts per million (ppm).

## Syntax and Security

- ▶ Block sizes are fixed at 1 million bits for technical reasons.
- ▶ Hash inputs are padded up to the next million bits.
- ▶ Security is defined as:

$$\frac{|input|}{|output|}$$

- ▶ Security is measured on parts per million (ppm).
- ▶ For high security we need a low ppm, but not too low.



## Syntax and Security

- ▶ Block sizes are fixed at 1 million bits for technical reasons.
- ▶ Hash inputs are padded up to the next million bits.
- ▶ Security is defined as:

$$\frac{|input|}{|output|}$$

- ▶ Security is measured on parts per million (ppm).
- ▶ For high security we need a low ppm, but not too low.
- ▶ Optimal ppm = 47.  $21 \leq \text{ppm} \leq 999$  is secure.<sup>8</sup>

---

<sup>8</sup>Detailed explanation & formulae are in the Full Version.

First candidate: **WORTH-LESS-1** ( $\ll_1$ )

- ▶ We first measure the Hamming weight of the block  $\omega$ .

## First candidate: **WORTH-LESS-1** ( $\ll_1$ )

- ▶ We first measure the Hamming weight of the block  $\omega$ .
- ▶ We compute:

$$\text{WMI} = \frac{\omega}{1,000,000}.$$

## First candidate: **WORTH-LESS-1** ( $\ll_1$ )

- ▶ We first measure the Hamming weight of the block  $\omega$ .
- ▶ We compute:

$$\text{WMI} = \frac{\omega}{1,000,000}.$$

- ▶ The output sets are sets of divine numbers  $\mathbb{D}_{\text{WMI}}$  chosen using a ~~magical~~ known method from ~~fiction~~ literature.

## First candidate: **WORTH-LESS-1** ( $\ll_1$ )

- ▶ We first measure the Hamming weight of the block  $\omega$ .
- ▶ We compute:

$$\text{WMI} = \frac{\omega}{1,000,000}.$$

- ▶ The output sets are sets of divine numbers  $\mathbb{D}_{\text{WMI}}$  chosen using a ~~magical~~ known method from ~~fiction~~ literature.
- ▶ We then pick the  $n^{\text{th}}$  element of the set where:

$$n = \frac{1}{\omega + e^i} \pmod{|\mathbb{D}_{\text{WMI}}|}.$$

## First candidate: **WORTH-LESS-1** ( $\ll_1$ )

- ▶ We first measure the Hamming weight of the block  $\omega$ .
- ▶ We compute:

$$\text{WMI} = \frac{\omega}{1,000,000}.$$

- ▶ The output sets are sets of divine numbers  $\mathbb{D}_{\text{WMI}}$  chosen using a ~~magical~~ known method from ~~fiction~~ literature.
- ▶ We then pick the  $n^{\text{th}}$  element of the set where:

$$n = \frac{1}{\omega + e^i} \pmod{|\mathbb{D}_{\text{WMI}}|}.$$

- ▶ The hash of that block is then  $\mathbb{D}_{\text{WMI}}[n] \times \pi$ .

## First candidate: **WORTH-LESS-1** ( $\ll_1$ )

- ▶ We first measure the Hamming weight of the block  $\omega$ .
- ▶ We compute:

$$\text{WMI} = \frac{\omega}{1,000,000}.$$

- ▶ The output sets are sets of divine numbers  $\mathbb{D}_{\text{WMI}}$  chosen using a ~~magical~~ known method from ~~fiction~~ literature.
- ▶ We then pick the  $n^{\text{th}}$  element of the set where:

$$n = \frac{1}{\omega + e^i} \pmod{|\mathbb{D}_{\text{WMI}}|}.$$

- ▶ The hash of that block is then  $\mathbb{D}_{\text{WMI}}[n] \times \pi$ .
- ▶ The final hash is the concatenation of all the block has values.

$$\ll_1(m) = \left\| \left\|_{j=1}^{\lceil |m|/1,000,000 \rceil} \mathbb{D}_{\text{WMI}_j}[n_j] \times \pi_j \right\| \right\|.$$

First candidate: **WORTH-LESS-1** ( $\ll_1$ )

- ▶ Security of  $\ll_1$  is between 25ppm and 47ppm.



## First candidate: **WORTH-LESS-1** ( $\ll_1$ )

- ▶ Security of  $\ll_1$  is between 25ppm and 47ppm.
- ▶ This depends on the input string and choice of divine numbers.

## First candidate: **WORTH-LESS-1** ( $\ll_1$ )

- ▶ Security of  $\ll_1$  is between 25ppm and 47ppm.
- ▶ This depends on the input string and choice of divine numbers.
- ▶ This helps to confuse attackers!

## First candidate: **WORTH-LESS-1** ( $\ll_1$ )

- ▶ Security of  $\ll_1$  is between 25ppm and 47ppm.
- ▶ This depends on the input string and choice of divine numbers.
- ▶ This helps to confuse attackers!
- ▶ Also weeds out lazy developers!

## First candidate: **WORTH-LESS-1** ( $\ll_1$ )

- ▶ Security of  $\ll_1$  is between 25ppm and 47ppm.
- ▶ This depends on the input string and choice of divine numbers.
- ▶ This helps to confuse attackers!
- ▶ Also weeds out lazy developers!
- ▶ Only committed devs will implement multiple check algorithms.

## First candidate: **WORTH-LESS-1** ( $\ll_1$ )

- ▶ Security of  $\ll_1$  is between 25ppm and 47ppm.
- ▶ This depends on the input string and choice of divine numbers.
- ▶ This helps to confuse attackers!
- ▶ Also weeds out lazy developers!
- ▶ Only committed devs will implement multiple check algorithms.
- ▶ THERE ARE NO COLLISIONS!!! GUARANTEED!!!<sup>9</sup>

---

<sup>9</sup>Not an actual guarantee. Terms and conditions apply.

## Conclusions

- ▶ Newer, better, cooler, shinier hash function family!

## Conclusions

- ▶ Newer, better, cooler, shinier hash function family!
- ▶ First candidate, which is super secure!

## Conclusions

- ▶ Newer, better, cooler, shinier hash function family!
- ▶ First candidate, which is super secure!
- ▶ More candidates welcome!



## Conclusions

- ▶ Newer, better, cooler, shinier hash function family!
- ▶ First candidate, which is super secure!
- ▶ More candidates welcome!
- ▶ Full version available at

## Conclusions

- ▶ Newer, better, cooler, shinier hash function family!
- ▶ First candidate, which is super secure!
- ▶ More candidates welcome!
- ▶ Full version available at  
`www.NotAFakeWebsite.com/CreditCardBorrower.js.exe.pdf`

## Conclusions

- ▶ Newer, better, cooler, shinier hash function family!
- ▶ First candidate, which is super secure!
- ▶ More candidates welcome!
- ▶ Full version available at  
`www.NotAFakeWebsite.com/CreditCardBorrower.js.exe.pdf`

## Conclusions

- ▶ Newer, better, cooler, shinier hash function family!
- ▶ First candidate, which is super secure!
- ▶ More candidates welcome!
- ▶ Full version available at  
`www.NotAFakeWebsite.com/CreditCardBorrower.js.exe.pdf`

THANK YOU!